

1 Adam Steinbaugh, SBN 304829
FOUNDATION FOR INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS & EXPRESSION
2 510 Walnut Street, Suite 900
3 Philadelphia, PA 19106
Telephone: (215) 717-3473, Ext. 213
4 Facsimile: (215) 717-3440
5 Email: adam@thefire.org

6 Matthew Strugar, SBN 232951
LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW STRUGAR
7 3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2910
8 Los Angeles, CA 90010
Telephone: (323) 696-2299
9 Email: matthew@matthewstrugar.com

10 *Attorneys for Respondent Amy Gulley*

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

OCT 11 2024

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: *J. J. Valdes* Deputy Clerk

11
12
13 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO – CIVIC CENTER COURTHOUSE**

15
16 SARRITA ANASTASIA ADAMS,
17 Petitioner,
18 vs.
19 AMY GULLEY,
20 Respondent.
21

Case No. CCH-24-587004

*Assigned for all purposes to the Hon.
Michelle Tong*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
RESPONDENT AMY GULLEY'S
MOTION TO QUASH AND DENYING
RESPONDENT AMY GULLEY'S
SPECIAL MOTION TO STRIKE [CCP
§ 425.16]**

Hearing: September 30, 2024
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept.: 505

Action Filed: June 6, 2024

22
23
24
25
26 //
27 //
28 //

1 Before the Court are Respondent Amy Gulley’s Motion to Quash Service of Petition
2 for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (the “Motion to Quash”), Respondent Amy Gulley’s Special
3 Motion to Strike under Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16 (the “anti-SLAPP Motion”),
4 and Petitioner Sarrita Anastasia Adams’s June 6, 2024, Request for Civil Harassment
5 Restraining Orders (the “Petition”).

6 The Court held a hearing on the Motion to Quash, anti-SLAPP Motion, and Petition
7 on September 30, 2024. Counsel for the Petitioner and Respondent appeared.

8 Having reviewed and considered the Petition, the Motion to Quash, the anti-SLAPP
9 Motion, the files in support of each, and the arguments of counsel, the Court rules as follows:

10 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 11 1. Respondent Gulley’s Motion to Quash is **GRANTED**.
- 12 2. Respondent Gulley’s anti-SLAPP Motion is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
- 13 3. The Temporary Restraining Order is **DISSOLVED** as of September 30, 2024.
- 14 4. Petitioner Sarrita Anastasia Adams’s Petition for a Civil Harassment
15 Restraining Order is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.
- 16 5. Respondent to give notice.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: OCT 11 2024

20
21 
22 _____
23 Hon. Michelle Tong
24 Judge, San Francisco County Superior Court
25
26
27
28