

April 29, 2024

Taylor Eighmy Office of the President Main Building, Suite 4.122 The University of Texas at San Antonio One UTSA Circle San Antonio, Texas 78249

<u>URGENT</u>

<u>Sent via Express Mail and Electronic Mail (president@utsa.edu)</u>

Dear President Eighmy:

FIRE¹ is deeply concerned by reports that a University of Texas at San Antonio administrator directed student demonstrators to avoid particular words, phrases, and any use of Arabic language. We call on the university to expeditiously investigate these allegations and reassure students that UTSA permits protests that use these words, phrases, and choice of language, which the First Amendment clearly protects.

Our concerns arise out of what appears to be a UTSA administrator's attempt to implement Texas Governor Greg Abbott's March 27, 2024, Executive Order instructing Texas state universities to "address the sharp rise in antisemitic speech" on campus, in which he cited (among other things) "students chanting antisemitic phrases such as 'from the river to the sea, Palestine will be free."² Gov. Abbott's order directs UTSA to provide "evidence that those policies are being enforced" by June 25, 2024.

In relevant part here, organizers of an April 24 UTSA student demonstration reported that an administrator warned them that using "terms such as 'Zionism' and 'Israel' and chanting 'From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free'"—the phrase singled out by Gov. Abbott's Executive Order—"were prohibited … because they qualified as 'antisemitic hate speech."³ Organizers

¹ The Foundation for Individual Rights and Expression (FIRE) is a nonpartisan nonprofit dedicated to defending freedom of speech. You can learn more about our expanded mission and activities at thefire.org.

² Tex. Exec. Order No. GA-44 (Mar. 27, 2024), https://gov.texas.gov/uploads/files/press/EO-GA-44_antisemitism_in_institutions_of_higher_ed_IMAGE_03-27-2024.pdf.

³ Michael Karlis, *Protesters say UTSA restricted their speech at march calling for Gaza ceasefire*, SAN ANTONIO CURRENT (Apr. 24, 2024), https://www.sacurrent.com/news/utsa-students-say-school-restricted-speech-at-protest-calling-for-gaza-ceasefire-34379435.

also reported the administrator forbade them from chanting in Arabic.⁴ A university spokesperson later denied UTSA banned "certain words," but stated: "the university will not tolerate antisemitic expression."⁵

If the students' report is substantially accurate, the university's direction raises serious First Amendment concerns. The First Amendment protects student expression at public universities, "no matter how offensive" it may be to others.⁶ Protest in the open areas of a public university campus is an exercise of core political speech, and Texas law recognizes the "outdoor areas" of these public institutions as "traditional public forums" open to "assemblies, protests, [and] speeches."⁷ Restrictions on the content of student speech there must accordingly withstand strict First Amendment scrutiny, requiring USTA to show its action "is necessary to serve a compelling state interest" and "narrowly drawn to achieve that end."⁸ Based on the allegations, UTSA cannot make that showing here.

UTSA's reported denial does not adequately address the allegations. It denies only that it prohibits "certain words,"⁹ while ignoring allegations that particular phrases—and use of the Arabic language—had been barred.

Further, the university's insistence that it will not "tolerate antisemitic expression" reinforces the concern that the university will act against speech it deems antisemitic—regardless of whether it is protected. Given Gov. Abbott's executive order declaring particular phrases "antisemitic" and directing "enforcement" of university policy, that concern is real. That speech is perceived as hateful—antisemitic or otherwise—has no bearing on its protection, as there is no categorical exception to the First Amendment for "hateful" speech.¹⁰

The other restrictions the students described—that also went unaddressed by the university's reported response—would not meet First Amendment scrutiny. Because, like many political refrains, "from the river to the sea, Palestine will be free" carries diffuse meanings,¹¹ its mere utterance does not fall into any of the categories of unprotected speech.¹² Nor does the

⁸ Widmar v. Vincent, 454 U.S. 263, 270 (1981).

⁹ We appreciate the university's denial of this particular allegation, as officials cannot "forbid particular words," as that power would allow them to censor "particular words as a convenient guise for banning the expression of unpopular views." *Cohen v. California*, 403 U.S. 15, 16 (1971).

¹⁰ See, e.g., R.A. V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377 (1992) (invalidating ordinance that prohibited placing on any property symbols that "arouse] anger, alarm or resentment in others on the basis of race, color, creed, religion or gender"). Accord Matal v. Tam, 528 U.S. 218, 246 (2017) (refusing to establish limit on speech viewed as "hateful" or demeaning "on the basis of race, ethnicity, gender, religion, age, disability, or any other similar ground").

¹¹ Karoun Demirjian & Liam Stack, *In Congress and on Campuses, 'From the River to the Sea' Inflames Debate*, N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 12, 2023), https://www.nytimes.com/2023/11/09/us/politics/river-to-the-sea-israel-gaza-palestinians.html.

¹² For example, to constitute a "true threat," a statement must be a "serious expression" conveying the *speaker's* intent to "commit an unlawful act of violence." *Virginia v. Black*, 538 U.S. 343, 359 (2003). And

⁴ *Id*.

⁵ Isaac Windes, *Students allege free speech violations at UTSA march for Palestine*, SAN ANTONIO REPORT (Apr. 24, 2024), https://sanantonioreport.org/students-allege-free-speech-violations-at-utsa-march-for-palestine.

⁶ Papish v. Bd. of Curators of Univ. of Mo., 410 U.S. 667, 670 (1973).

⁷ Tex. Educ. Code § 51.9315(a)(2), (c)(1).

university's interest in remedying hostile environment harassment authorize it to override core First Amendment rights.¹³ And any suggestion that students avoid the Arabic language would violate the settled rule that the First Amendment "extends to all, to those who speak other languages as well as to those born with English on the tongue."¹⁴

We therefore ask UTSA to publicly reaffirm the full breadth of the First Amendment's protection of its students' speech, and that the university respond to the following questions in writing:

- 1. Did any administrator, staff member, or other employee warn students against using particular words, phrases, or languages?
- 2. If so, who was it and what did they tell the students?
- 3. Is a student's use of the phrase "From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free" protected by the First Amendment?
- 4. What steps, if any, has UTSA taken to comply with Gov. Abbott's Executive Order?

Given the urgent nature of this matter, FIRE requests a substantive response to this letter no later than close of business Friday, May 3, 2024.

Sincerely,

Haley Gluhanich Program Officer, Campus Rights Advocacy

advocacy that *others* act is "incitement" only if it is "[1] intended to produce, and [2] likely to produce, *imminent*" unlawful action. *Hess v. Indiana*, 414 U.S. 105, 109 (1973). Notably, even a phrase advocating violence overseas is neither a serious expression of intent to undertake violence nor likely to cause imminent violence.

¹³ Speech First, Inc. v. Fenves, 979 F.3d 319, 337 n.16 (5th Cir. 2020) (an interest in preventing harassment is not enough to restrict student expression, even if it meets the "severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive" standard set forth in *Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education*, 526 U.S. 629, 650 (1999)).

¹⁴ Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390, 401 (1923); see also, e.g., Bernstein v. U.S. Dept. of State, 922 F. Supp. 1426, 1435 ("Nor does the particular language one chooses change the nature of language for First Amendment purposes").